3014 P.

DINSMORE SHOHLUP

AUG 15 2006

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

from HOLLY D. KOZLOWSKI

August 15, 2006

Direct: 513-977-8568 / Fax: 513-977-8141 /holly.kozlowski@dinslaw.com

To:

Commissioner for Patents

Fax Number:

571-273-8300

Client Number:

33649-17

Pages:

4

(including cover)

Comments:

If there are any problems in receiving this transmission, please call the fax room at (513) 977-8483 immediately. Thank you.

Notice

This message is intended only for the use of the individuals or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this notice is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this notice in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return these papers to us at the address helow via first class mail.

Cincinnati • 1900 Chemed Center • 255 East Fifth Street • Cincinnati, OH 45202 • Phone: (513) 977-8200

PAGE 1/4 * RCVD AT 8/15/2006 3:46:59 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:5139778141 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-20

PATENT

Docket No. 33649-17 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted via facsimile to: Mail Stop Amendment: Commissioner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450; Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 at facsimile number 571-273-8300 on August 15, 2806 Marie San Il AUG 15 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

John A. Kappelhof et al

Paper No.

10/622,988

Group Art Unit: 3731

Serial No.:

July 18, 2003

Examiner:

Glenn K. Dawson

Filing Date:

For:

Method and Device for Manipulation of an Intraocular Lens

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Response in the above identified application.

[]

0

No additional fee is required.

Also artached:

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	NO. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST PREVIOUS PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEE
Total Claims	79	79	0	x \$50=	\$00.00
Independent Claims	3	3	0	x \$200 =	\$00.00
independent Ciamis		TOTAL FEE DUE			\$00.00

A check in the amount of \$0 is enclosed.

Please charge \$00.00 to our Visa credit card. Form PTO-2098 is enclosed. 0

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional fees associated with this [X]communication or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 04-1133, including any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for presentation of extra claims and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

poctfully submitted,

Holly D. Kozlowski Registration No. 30,468 **DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP**

1900 Chemed Center 255 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 977-8568

1295129

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

PATENT

Docket No. 33649-17
<u>CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE</u>
I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted via

I hereby certify that this paper is deing transmitted via facsimile to: Mail Stop Amendment; Commissioner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450; Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 at facsimile number 571-273-8300 on August 15, 2006

AUG 15 2006

Borni S Dell

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

John A. Kappelhof et al

Paper No.

Serial No.:

10/622,988

Group Art Unit:

3731

Filing Date:

July 18, 2003

Examiner:

Glenn K. Dawson

For:

Method and Device for Manipulation of an Intraocular Lens

RESPONSE

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dean Sir:

In the Official Action dated July 24, 2006, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. §121 between claims 1-30, drawn to a method of inserting an intraocular lens into a receptacle, and claims 31-80 drawn to a device for stretching the haptics of an intraocular lens. Applicants hereby elect the invention of claims 31-75 and 77-80 (claim 76 having been cancelled in the Preliminary Amendment filed with this application on July 18, 2003), with traverse. This election is made with traverse on the basis that it would not be unduly burdensome for the Examiner to examine the methods of claims 1-30 with the devices of claims 31-75 and 77-80.

The Examiner also required Applicants to elect under 35 U.S.C. §121 a single disclosed species between Species I of Fig. 4 and Species II of Fig. 5 for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicants hereby elect the Species I of Figs. 4A and 4B. It is believed that

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

U.S. Patent Application Serial No.: 10/622,988 Response dated August 15, 2006 Reply to Official Action dated July 24, 2006

claims 31-37, 39-47, 50-52, 56-64, 67-75 and 77-80 read on the elected species. This election is made with traverse on the basis that it would not be unduly burdensome for the Examiner to examine both of the indicated species in this application in the event that a generic claim is not found to be allowable.

It is believed that the above represents a complete response to the Official Action.

Examination on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Rv.

Holly D. Kozlowski, Reg. No. 30,468

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

1900 Chemed Center 255 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 977-8568

1295118v1